

Quarterly Supplement To

# Business, Legal, And Tax Planning for the Dental Practice

Second Edition,  
ISBN 0-87814-800-0, © 2001 PennWell Corp.



The purpose of the Quarterly Supplement is to continually update the material contained in **Business, Legal, And Tax Planning for the Dental Practice**, Second Edition, as "free-standing" articles relative to current business, legal, tax and pending legislative matters that affect your practice. These Quarterly Supplements also reflect my ongoing experiences as an attorney representing dental and dental specialty practices. At times, articles will be written by friends who consist of tax attorneys, accountants, actuaries and dentists. The articles contained in the Quarterly Supplements are consistent with the chapters contained in my book, which you may download at [www.wickenslaw.com](http://www.wickenslaw.com) at no charge.



**William P. Prescott, M.B.A., J.D.**  
Practice Transition Attorney  
Former Dental Equipment and Supply Salesman

**FALL, 2007  
In This Supplement**

**The Three Prong Approach**

© Copyright 2007,  
William P. Prescott, M.B.A., J.D., and  
Wickens, Herzer, Panza, Cook & Batista Co.  
All rights reserved.

**William P. Prescott, M.B.A., J.D.**  
**Wickens, Herzer, Panza, Cook & Batista Co.**  
35765 Chester Road  
Avon, Ohio 44011-1262  
Direct: 440.930.8067  
Fax: 440.930.8098  
[wprescott@wickenslaw.com](mailto:wprescott@wickenslaw.com)  
[www.wickenslaw.com](http://www.wickenslaw.com)

This Quarterly Supplement is not intended to provide the reader with specific legal or tax advice. For specific solutions to legal and tax matters, please consult with your legal counsel and CPA.

#### IRS CIRCULAR 230 DISCLOSURE

To ensure compliance with the requirements imposed by IRS Circular 230, we inform you that any tax advice contained in this communication is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (1) avoiding tax-related penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (2) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any tax-related matter(s) addressed herein.

## THE THREE PRONG APPROACH

An increasingly common method of structuring partnerships for the incoming owner(s) is to form an S-corporation and purchase assets from the existing practice owner(s). Thereafter, the new owner(s) and existing owner(s) operate the practice through a newly-formed limited liability company or partnership that collects the revenues, pays the operating expenses (including employee benefits) and employs the staff. Profits fall to the entities owned by the respective owners. Each doctor-owned entity pays the business expenses of each owner that may include liability insurance, continuing education, business travel, automobile and possibly lab and/or any other expenses which may be disproportionate for one or more owners. General operating, staff, and occupancy expenses are usually paid pro rata, *e.g.*, 50%/50% in a two doctor practice. While this approach has benefits of potential deductibility/amortization of assets for many incoming owners and primarily capital gains treatment for the existing owner(s), there are two relatively unknown traps.

For those practices that were formed pre 1993, the Internal Revenue Code ("IRC") Section 197, anti-churning rules, will apply and deny amortization of the goodwill purchased for the buy-in for the first half of the practice by the incoming owner(s)<sup>1,2,3</sup> if the existing owner(s) and new owner(s) own at least twenty percent (20%) ownership.<sup>4</sup> It is the third entity, the limited liability company or partnership, that creates this problem.

If, on the other hand, the owners operate with separate practices under a solo group arrangement, with no common ownership of a third entity, the goodwill is amortizable for the buy-in. What's more, under IRC 414(m), each separate practice may adopt its own tax-qualified retirement plan without covering the employees of both practices. This is a good thing and shared employees can be accounted for.

IRC Section 197 does not provide for bifurcation of the goodwill<sup>5</sup> from pre and post 1993. This is probably not the result intended by Congress in enacting this legislation, but is, in the view of some commentators, the result. This trap can be avoided by forming a solo group, becoming "partners" in an entity which has other ramifications or remaining a solo practitioner — probably the best route as it keeps your life uncomplicated.

---

<sup>1</sup> IRC 197(f)(9)(A)(ii).

<sup>2</sup> 1997 WL 33106671 Internal Revenue Service Field Service Advisory.

<sup>3</sup> Reg. Section 1.197-2(k), Ex. 18.

<sup>4</sup> IRC 197(f)(9)(C).

<sup>5</sup> Mergers, Acquisitions, and Buyouts, Martin D. Ginsburg, Jack S. Levin, December, 2002, Aspen Publications, 4-118, Example 17, Section 403.4.4.4.

The second problem relates to S-corporation distributions, which have the effect of eliminating the 2.9% Medicare tax. First, it is no secret that the Internal Revenue Service dislikes S-corporation distributions and believes that this practice is being abused. Second, where the three tier approach is used and the initial entity is a limited liability company, there is authority<sup>6,7</sup> that the S-corporation distributions are unavailable and that all income flowing through the limited liability company is self-employment income, irrespective of the "reasonableness" of the S-corporation distributions.

While this three tier approach is relatively popular, these traps exist, but can be avoided by the formation of a solo group or partnership without a third entity. Nevertheless, the first problem is avoided if the entity was formed post 1993.

---

<sup>6</sup> Victor Grigoraci, et ux., et al. v. Commissioner, TC Memo 2002-202.

<sup>7</sup> The Tax Advisor, February 2003, 2-03 T.T.A. 80, American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, Inc., Thomas P. Ochsenschlager, Partner, Grant Thornton LLP, Washington, D.C.